Outpatient clinic blueprint scheduling with limited waiting area capacity Sander Dijkstra, Maarten Otten, Gréanne Leeftink, Richard Boucherie, Center for Healthcare Operations Improvement Research, University of Twente, Enschede, the Netherlands

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.



Introduction

- Patients visit hospital for series of appointments, e.g., for case study at Rheumatology clinic of Sint Maartenskliniek (SMK): diagnostic test \rightarrow consultation with nurse \rightarrow consultation with physician
- Diagnostic tests, consultations with nurse and consultations with physician come in different types and duration, so jointly define Patient trajectories
- Bridging time **i** : Minimum required time between steps in patient trajectory to gather test results/information. Patient spends this time in waiting area



Fig 1. Schematic depiction of a patient trajectory

Scheduling patient trajectories determines waiting area occupancy, e.g., scheduling 4 patients with nurses at 9AM for a 15 min. consultation and with bridging time of 60 min. implies waiting area occupancy of at least 4 patients between 9:15 – 10:15 Difficulty: actual time spend in waiting area is subject to patient

and/or provider (un)punctuality

Sander Dijkstra is PhD student at the Center for Healthcare **Operations Improvement** Research (CHOIR) at the University of Twente.

> **Contact:** s.dijkstra-1@utwente.nl

References

Dijkstra S, Otten M, Leeftink G, et al. (2022). Limited waiting areas in outpatient clinics: an intervention to incorporate the effect of bridging times in blueprint schedules. BMJ Open Quality 2022;11:e001703.

DOI: 10.1136/bmjoq-2021-001703

Otten M, Dijkstra S, Leeftink G, et al. (2021). Outpatient clinic scheduling with limited waiting area capacity. Journal of the Operational Research Society

DOI: 10.1080/01605682.2021.1978347

Results

Case study setting: Rheumatology clinic SMK. Clinic opens at 8:15, closes at 17:00; 3 nurses (blueprint schedules on top), 10 physicians (blueprint schedules at the bottom). Every colour corresponds to a patient trajectory, so if colour occurs in both nurses' and physicians' blueprints, there is bridging time 🕻 . Hatched (x) blocks correspond to digital consultations of the colour matching the patient trajectory. Waiting area capacity: 18 seat patients.



Fig 2. Pre-COVID-19 blueprint schedule. Left: blueprint schedules with along x-axis the time, along y-axis the staff. Right: Waiting area occupancy. Grey bars depict the occupancy if both patient and provider are punctual, blue line (shaded area is 95% confidence interval) depicts occupancy including unpunctuality.



Fig 3. COVID-19-proof blueprint schedule. See caption Fig. 2.

- If both patients (all arrive exactly at the appointed time) and provider (no consultation duration deviates from its scheduled duration) are punctual the pre-COVID-19 blueprint schedules satisfies the waiting room capacity
- If unpunctuality is considered, this is no longer true



between 14:00 – 15:00

So that the waiting area occupancy including unpunctuality drops below capacity

- This blueprint schedule is COVID-19proof: the waiting area occupancy including unpunctuality of both patient and provider is below capacity all day
- This can be done without decreasing (i.e. cancellations) the number of appointments
- 88% of appointments can be scheduled in-person (so 12% is replaced by digital alternative)



Conclusions

- We evaluated an intervention for blueprint design, enabling clinics to schedule as many inperson appointments as possible given a maximum waiting area capacity
- The intervention showed effective in two case studies (both more than 80% inperson appointments)
- The method is generically applicable to a wide range of healthcare services with elective care that schedule a (series of) appointment(s) for their patients beforehand









EUS UMC Utrecht